

COUNTY COUNCILCOUNCIL MEETING - 19 MAY 2015

**MINUTES** of the meeting of the Council held at the Council Chamber, County Hall, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN on 19 May 2015 commencing at 10.00 am, the Council being constituted as follows:

	Mary Angell		Saj Hussain
	W D Barker OBE		David Ivison
	Mrs N Barton		Daniel Jenkins
*	Ian Beardsmore		George Johnson
	John Beckett		Linda Kemeny
	Mike Bennison		Colin Kemp
	Liz Bowes		Eber Kington
	Natalie Bramhall		Rachael I Lake
	Mark Brett-Warburton	*	Stella Lallement
	Ben Carasco		Yvonna Lay
	Bill Chapman		Ms D Le Gal
	Helyn Clack		Mary Lewis
	Carol Coleman		Ernest Mallett MBE
	Stephen Cooksey		Sally Marks
	Mr S Cosser		Mr P J Martin
	Clare Curran		Jan Mason
	Graham Ellwood		Marsha Moseley
	Jonathan Essex		Tina Mountain
	Robert Evans		David Munro
	Tim Evans		Christopher Norman
	Mel Few		John Orrick
	Will Forster		Adrian Page
	Mrs P Frost		Chris Pitt
	Denis Fuller	*	Dorothy Ross-Tomlin
	John Furey		Denise Saliagopoulos
	Bob Gardner		Tony Samuels
	Mike Goodman		Pauline Searle
	David Goodwin		Stuart Selleck
	Michael Gosling		Nick Skellett CBE
	Zully Grant-Duff		Michael Sydney
	Ramon Gray		Keith Taylor
	Ken Gulati		Barbara Thomson
	Tim Hall		Chris Townsend
	Kay Hammond		Richard Walsh
	Mr D Harmer		Hazel Watson
	Nick Harrison		Fiona White
*	Marisa Heath		Richard Wilson
*	Peter Hickman		Helena Windsor
	Margaret Hicks		Keith Witham
	David Hodge	*	Mr A Young
			Mrs V Young

\*absent

#### **25/15 CHAIRMAN [Item 1]**

Under the motion of Mrs Clack, seconded by Mr Harrison, it was unanimously:

#### **RESOLVED:**

That Mrs Sally Marks be elected Chairman of the Council for the Council Year 2015/16.

#### **DECLARATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF OFFICE**

Mrs Marks made the statutory declaration of office and took the Chair. The newly elected Chairman expressed her thanks to the Members of the Council for electing her as Chairman.

#### **26/15 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 2]**

Apologies for absence were received from Mr Beardsmore, Miss Heath, Mr Hickman, Mrs Lallement, Mrs Ross-Tomlin and Mrs Young.

#### **27/15 MINUTES [Item 3]**

The minutes of the meeting of the County Council held on 17 March 2015 were submitted, confirmed and signed.

#### **28/15 ELECTION OF COUNTY COUNCILLOR [Item 4]**

The Chief Executive, formally reported that Mr Ramon Gray was duly elected as the new County Councillor for the Weybridge division following the by-election held on 7 May 2015.

#### **29/15 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS [Item 5]**

The Chairman made the following announcements:

- (i) She informed Members that Mrs Cecelia Garrard, former County Councillor, who was Chairman of the County Council from 1993 – 1996 and also a Deputy Lieutenant for Surrey had passed away. Members stood in silent tribute.
- (ii) On behalf of Surrey County Council, she congratulated their Royal Highnesses, the Duke and Duchess of Cambridge on the birth of their daughter, Princess Charlotte.
- (iii) Magna Carta – that the celebrations relating to the 800<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the sealing of the Magna Carta would culminate on 15 June 2015, when the County Council in partnership with the National Trust would be hosting an event on the Runnymede meadows. Senior Members of the Royal Family will be attending.
- (iv) The fire at Clandon Park – she thanked Members of Surrey County Council staff, and in particular staff from the Surrey Fire and Rescue Service and the Registration Service who worked tirelessly during this incident and the following days to mitigate its effect.

- (v) Freedom Game – she informed Members that a new community opera commissioned by Surrey County Council through Surrey Arts had been performed for the first time at the Royal Albert Hall on Tuesday 12 May.
- (vi) Dame Sarah Goad DCVO JP – that there would be an opportunity to thank her for 18 years of service as Lord Lieutenant of Surrey at the AGM Ceremonial lunch.
- (vii) Finally, she said that the new High Sheriff, Mrs Elizabeth Kennedy had been appointed at a ceremony at Guildford Cathedral on 20 March 2015.

### **30/15 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 6]**

There were none.

### **31/15 VICE-CHAIRMAN [Item 7]**

Upon the motion of Mrs Frost, seconded by Mr Walsh, it was unanimously:

#### **RESOLVED:**

That Mr Nicholas Skellett CBE be appointed Vice-Chairman of the Council for the council year 2015/16.

#### **DECLARATION OF ACCEPTANCE OF OFFICE**

Mr Skellett was invested by Mrs Marks with the Vice-Chairman's badge. He made the statutory declaration of office and expressed thanks to the Members of the Council for electing him as Vice-Chairman.

### **32/15 MOTION OF THANKS TO RETIRING CHAIRMAN [Item 8]**

The newly elected Chairman moved a formal motion of thanks to Mr Munro for his services as Chairman of the Council during the last two years. This was formally seconded by Mrs Watson.

There were also congratulatory speeches from the Leader of the Council, who asked Mr Munro to become the County Council's Armed Forces Champion, the Leader of the Residents' Association and Independent Group, the Leader of UKIP, the Deputy Leader, Mrs Frost and Mr Skellett.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That we, the Chairman and Members of the Surrey County Council, record our warm appreciation of the distinguished services given to the County and its inhabitants by Mr David Munro during his tenure of office as Chairman of the Council from 21 May 2013 to 19 May 2015.

The Chairman then presented Mr Munro with an inscribed copy of the motion together with an ex-Chairman's badge and a gift, funded privately by Members' subscription.

Mr Munro made a farewell speech.

### **33/15 LEADER'S STATEMENT [Item 9]**

The Leader made a detailed statement. A copy of the statement is attached as Appendix A.

Members raised the following topics:

- Support for Devolution and a fairer deal for funding for Surrey and whether, if Surrey goes down the Devolution route, the County Council would have an elected mayor.
- The importance of services for local residents and a request not to close any Children's Centres in Surrey
- Confirmation that the Medium Term Financial Plan would be refreshed in July 2015

### **34/15 AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNCIL'S SCRUTINY FUNCTION - ARTICLE 7: SELECT COMMITTEES [Item 10]**

The Chairman explained that Cabinet portfolios had been revised to align with the three strategic goals in the Corporate Strategy and it was now proposed to amend select committee remits to reflect these and to enable effective scrutiny of the work of Cabinet and services.

Mr Harrison welcomed many of the changes but expressed concern in relation to the merging of Children's and Adults into one Social Care Services Board. He asked that this change was reviewed in twelve months and reported back to Council.

Mr Robert Evans considered that the new titles of the Boards did not clearly reflect the work of each Board and residents would have difficulty in understanding their roles. However, the Leader of the Council provided an explanation for the changes and said that 'Resident Experience' was at the heart of all services provided by the County Council.

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the Council approves the following recommendations with immediate effect:

1. the merger of the Adult Social Care and Children Social Care remits to form a Social Care Services Board and the formation of a new Education and Skills Board;
2. that the Council's select committees will be known as:
  - Council Overview Board
  - Social Care Services Board
  - Wellbeing Board (Health Scrutiny)
  - Education and Skills Board
  - Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways Board
  - Resident Experience Board
3. the revised Article 7 of the Constitution, as set out in Annex 1 and the detailed remits of the Boards in Annex 1a, of the submitted report.

### **35/15 UPDATE REPORT OF THE CONSTITUTION REVIEW GROUP [Item 11]**

As part of the Constitution Review Group and as the newly elected Vice-Chairman of the Council, Mr Skellett introduced the report. He explained that the Council had last reviewed

its council and committee processes at the County Council meeting in October 2014, when it was agreed that the Review Group would re-consider how to handle the number and timing of motions and also the number of signatures required, in the Council's Petition Scheme, to trigger a debate at full Council.

He drew attention to the recommendations set out in the Update Report as set out on pages 43 – 45 of the Council agenda and expanded on the reasoning for them.

Mr Harrison tabled an amendment to the recommendations – amending recommendations (2) and (6) as set out below:

- (2) There is a presumption that original motions will normally be taken in the order in which they are received. ~~However, in the event that the number of motions received deems it unlikely that they can be debated within the time limit, or for example, where an excessive number of motions had been received or a number of motions from one Group which might prohibit a balance of debate across the Council, the Chairman has the discretion to determine the order in which they are debated following consultation with Group Leaders and others as appropriate. , but second motions submitted by any Group will be added to the end of the end of the list of first motions submitted by each Group or by an individual Member, in a similar manner to which the Council deals with Member questions. Third motions will follow and so on.~~
- (6) The Chairman's role is to ensure that the debate on motions is fully heard ~~but that the debate is not prolonged unnecessarily to prevent later motions and debates being given sufficient consideration. but is not unduly repetitive and the debate is not prolonged unnecessarily.~~

The remaining recommendations were unchanged in his amendment.

He said that the Residents Association / Independent Group would prefer an overall time limit rather than a restriction on the number of motions and he also considered adopting a similar approach as Members' questions was a good approach, so that any second / third motion would be added to the list after all first motions submitted by each Group, because he disagreed with the Chairman using his/her discretion in determining the order of the motions.

He referred to the option, already available to Council, to defer motions to select committees / Cabinet and also said that as the Constitution Review Group was continuing its work for a further year, there would be a further opportunity to review changes and therefore urged Members to support his amendments.

Mr Skellett did not accept Mr Harrison's amendment to the recommendations of the Constitution Review Group because he considered that it removed from the Chairman, the option to determine the order of the motions and therefore, proposed his own amendment to recommendation (2) by adding the following to Mr Harrison's amendment:

'However, in the event that the number of motions received deems it unlikely that they can be debated within the time limit the Chairman has the discretion to determine the order in which they are debated following consultation with Group Leaders and others as appropriate, being mindful of the political balance of the Council and the need to ensure fair representation for all political groups.'

So that recommendation (2) now read:

'There is a presumption that original motions will normally be taken in the order in which they are received but second motions submitted by any Group will be added to the end of the end

of the list of first motions submitted by each Group or by an individual Member, in a similar manner to which the Council deals with Member questions. Third motions will follow and so on. However, in the event that the number of motions received deems it unlikely that they can be debated within the time limit the Chairman has the discretion to determine the order in which they are debated following consultation with Group Leaders and others as appropriate, being mindful of the political balance of the Council and the need to ensure fair representation for all political groups.'

The remaining recommendations remained unchanged.

Mr Harrison did not accept the amendment as proposed by Mr Skellett.

The Chairman said that Members would debate the amendment, as further amended by Mr Skellett and vote on this amendment. However if Members voted against this amendment, they would return to Mr Harrison's amendment and vote on that one.

During the debate on the amendment, the following points were made:

- Mrs Watson said that these amendments indicated how complicated this review had become and informed Members that she had a further amendment, which she would table after this amendment had been decided
- Concern that the Chairman would not be impartial
- The original amendment was simple and logical and the further amendment should be rejected
- A request for cross-party support for the original amendment
- That the majority of motions usually came from the opposition and that Mr Harrison's amendment was too prescriptive
- Acknowledgement of the option to refer motions, however, using this option created a 'back-up' of business for later council meetings
- The proposed recommendations agreed that there should be no cap on the number of motions but that there should be a time limit
- The importance of retaining the Chairman's discretion.

After the debate on Mr Skellett's amendment, the recommendations of the Constitution Review Group were put to the vote with 56 Members voting for and 15 Members voting against it. There were no abstentions.

Therefore the amendment was carried.

Mrs Watson's amendment was tabled. She proposed deleting recommendations (1) to (4) and to reducing the number of signatures required to trigger a debate in the Council's Petition Scheme from 10,000 to 3,000.

Mrs Watson said that she considered that the threshold for signatures in the Council Petition Scheme was still too high and would continue to prevent residents par-taking in County Council meetings. She also considered that the role of the full County Council meetings was for cross-party debate and to share ideas, which would be curtailed if the new recommendations were agreed.

This amendment was ruled as not valid.

Therefore, Members were asked to vote on the revised recommendations, as agreed after Mr Skellett's amendment, with 56 Members voting for and 15 Members voting against it. There were no abstentions.

Therefore, it was:

## **RESOLVED:**

That the following recommendations to be effective from the next ordinary meeting of the Council:

1. There should be no cap on the number of motions set down for debate at Council meetings but a limit of one and a half hours for the total debate on motions, subject to the Chairman's discretion to waive the time limit if it is deemed the matter is of particular importance.
2. There is a presumption that original motions will normally be taken in the order in which they are received but second motions submitted by any Group will be added to the end of the end of the list of first motions submitted by each Group or by an individual Member, in a similar manner to which the Council deals with Member questions. Third motions will follow and so on. However, in the event that the number of motions received deems it unlikely that they can be debated within the time limit the Chairman has the discretion to determine the order in which they are debated following consultation with Group Leaders and others as appropriate, being mindful of the political balance of the Council and the need to ensure fair representation for all political groups.
3. There is a presumption against having original motions at the statutory Annual General Meeting (May) and the Annual Budget Council Meeting (February). Motions may be accepted at the Chairman's discretion however, discussions must be contained within an indicative time limit of 45 minutes in total. This is to take account of any emerging or urgent issues deemed to be of sufficient importance to discuss at these two Council meetings.
4. That the Council's Standing Orders are updated to reflect revised time limits to apply to speeches, as detailed in Annex B of the submitted report.
5. The Council's Petition Scheme be amended to set the threshold for a petition to trigger a debate at Council at 10,000 signatures, as set out in Annex C of the submitted report.
6. The Chairman's role is to ensure that the debate on motions is fully heard but is not unduly repetitive and the debate is not prolonged unnecessarily.
7. That the new arrangements for motions should be reviewed after one year to judge their effectiveness.
8. That a full review of Standing Orders is undertaken in relation to accuracy and 'points of order' by the end of 2015.
9. That the Council's Standing Orders are updated to reflect the change in legislation to state that a recorded vote must be undertaken when the vote is taken for setting the annual budget.
10. That the Constitution Review Group continues its work for a further year under the chairmanship of the new County Council Vice-Chairman, once elected.
11. That the Member Development Steering Group considers further training for Members on the procedural aspects of the Constitution.

### **36/15 AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION [Item 12]**

A revised page 83, with the correct numbers for the Boards and Committees was tabled at the meeting and is attached as Appendix B.

Also, an addition to recommendation 1 was proposed, this was:

1(iii) changes in the length of speeches, as set out in item 11, recommendation (4) – page 44 of the agenda.

The Leader of the Council confirmed that these amendments to the Constitution had been endorsed at the People, Performance and Development Committee held on 12 May 2015.

#### **RESOLVED:**

1. That the County Council agrees to the relevant changes to its Standing Orders regarding:
  - (i) the role of Cabinet Associates at County Council meetings
  - (ii) the removal of the statutory protection in respect of disciplinary action for the Head of Paid Service, Monitoring Officer and Chief Finance Officer
  - (iii) changes in the length of speeches, as set out in item 11, recommendation (4) – page 44 of the agenda.
2. That the amendments to the Officer Code of Conduct be approved.

### **37/15 ANNUAL REVIEW OF POLITICAL PROPORTIONALITY 2015/16 [Item 13]**

The annual review of the Scheme of Political Proportionality 2015/16 was circulated separately to Members and is attached as Appendix C to these minutes.

**RESOLVED** (with no Member voting against):

That the committee sizes and scheme of proportionality, as set out in Annex 1 of the submitted report, be adopted for 2015/16.

### **38/15 APPOINTMENT OF COMMITTEES [Item 14]**

The proposals for the appointment of committees were tabled at the meeting and are attached as Appendix D.

#### **RESOLVED:**

1. That the Members, as set out in Appendix D, be appointed to serve on the Boards and Committees of the Council for the Council Year 2015/16, in accordance with the wishes of political groups.
2. That the Chief Executive be authorised to make changes to the membership of any of the Council's Committees as necessary during the Council Year, in accordance with the wishes of political groups.
3. That the County Councillors representing divisions in the Woking borough area be appointed to serve on the Woking Joint Committee for the Council Year 2015/16.

4. That the remaining County Councillors for each district/borough area be appointed to serve on the appropriate Local Committee for the Council Year 2015/16, and to authorise the Chief Executive to appoint an equal number of district/borough councillors to the Local Committees following nominations by the district and borough councils, which they should be requested to make politically proportional to their Membership.
5. That the Council's representative be appointed to the Surrey Police and Crime Panel for the Council Year 2015/16.

### **39/15 ELECTION OF COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN AND VICE-CHAIRMEN 2015/16 [Item 15]**

The proposals for the Committee Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen were tabled at the meeting.

#### **RESOLVED:**

1. That the Members listed below be duly elected as Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen respectively for the Boards and Committees, as shown for the Council Year 2015/16.
2. That the Chief Executive be authorised, in consultation with the Chairman of the Committee, to appoint the Borough's nominated Member as Vice-Chairman of Guildford Local Committee once the co-opted Members are appointed.

<b>BOARDS</b>		
	<b>Chairman</b>	<b>Vice-Chairman</b>
<b>Council Overview</b>	David Munro	Eber Kington
<b>Social Care Services</b>	Keith Witham	Margaret Hicks
<b>Education and Skills</b>	Mark Brett-Warburton	Mary Lewis
<b>Resident Experience</b>	Colin Kemp	Rachael I Lake
<b>Economic Prosperity, Environment &amp; Highways</b>	David Harmer	Bob Gardner
<b>Wellbeing and Health Scrutiny</b>	Bill Chapman	Ben Carasco
<b>PLANNING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEE</b>		
	Tim Hall	Keith Taylor
<b>AUDIT &amp; GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE</b>		
	Stuart Selleck	Denis Fuller

<b>PEOPLE, PERFORMANCE &amp; DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</b>		
	David Hodge	Peter Martin
<b>SURREY PENSION FUND BOARD</b>		
	Denise Le Gal	Alan Young

<b>LOCAL COMMITTEES</b>		
<b>DISTRICT</b>	<b>CHAIRMAN</b>	<b>VICE-CHAIRMAN</b>
<b>Elmbridge</b>	Margaret Hicks	Mike Bennison
<b>Epsom &amp; Ewell</b>	Eber Kington	John Beckett
<b>Guildford</b>	Keith Taylor	Borough to Appoint
<b>Mole Valley</b>	Tim Hall	Clare Curran
<b>Reigate &amp; Banstead</b>	Dorothy Ross-Tomlin	Barbara Thomson
<b>Runnymede</b>	Yvonna Lay	Mary Angell
<b>Spelthorne</b>	Denise Saliagopoulos	Tim Evans
<b>Surrey Heath</b>	David Ivison	Chris Pitt
<b>Tandridge</b>	Nick Skellett	Michael Sydney
<b>Waverley</b>	Pat Frost	Victoria Young

<b>Woking Joint Committee</b>	Liz Bowes	Borough to appoint
-------------------------------	-----------	--------------------

#### **40/15 MEMBERS' QUESTION TIME [Item 16]**

Notice of 3 questions had been received. The questions and replies are attached as Appendix E.

A number of supplementary questions were asked and a summary of the main points is set out below:

**(Q1) Mrs Coleman** considered that the second part of her question had not been answered and asked the Cabinet Member for Business Services to confirm that the Surrey Disability Register was a valuable tool and whether there were any plans for changes to it. The Cabinet Member confirmed that there were no plans to change how this register was

administered and that the County Council was taking proactive steps to look at whether any improvements could be made to the process.

**(Q2) Mr Robert Evans** said that he had put this question today because he had not received a response to his 'chase up' email, sent at the beginning of March 2015, requesting an update on any actions following agreement of his 'Fair Trade' motion in May 2014. He asked the Cabinet Member for Business Services to investigate and report back to him – she agreed to his request.

**(Q3) Mr Robert Evans** invited the Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning to visit Stanwell Moor to meet those residents whose bus service was under threat, as part of the Local Transport Review. The Cabinet Member agreed to this request and said that the County Council had been unable to announce any proposed changes to bus services during the pre-election period.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 12.15pm and resumed at 2.00pm with all those present who had been in attendance in the morning session except for Mrs Coleman, Mrs Curran, Mr Ellwood, Mr Goodwin, Mr Hall, Mrs Lay, Mrs Moseley, Mrs Mountain, Mr Munro and Mr Selleck.

### **41/15 STATEMENTS BY MEMBERS [Item 17]**

There was one local Member statement from Mr John Beckett concerning the Meadow Sure Start Centre. A copy is attached as Appendix F.

### **42/15 ORIGINAL MOTION [Item 18]**

Under Standing Order 12.3, the Council agreed to debate this motion.

Under Standing Order 12.1, Mr Eber Kington moved the motion, which was:

“This Council notes that Members’ Allocations are a key element of localism and an important means by which individual County Councillors are able to support local voluntary groups and valuable community initiatives.

This Council further notes that the recent cut in Member Allocations:

- was agreed without any detailed public scrutiny
- has reduced each Local Committee’s Member Allocation by £35,000 and
- has led to a reduction in the both the range and amount of support Members are able to give to local good causes at a time when there is an even greater demand for assistance from the voluntary, community and faith sectors.

This Council therefore calls upon the Cabinet to review this decision and to restore Member Allocations to its 2014/2015 level.’

The motion was formally seconded by Mr Beckett.

Mr Kington said that:

- That local committees were one of this County Council's successes
- Member allocations were valued which enabled Members' to respond to individual requests for funding and therefore the reduction of each local committee Member allocation by £35,000 was disappointing
- None of the Residents Association / Independent Members had been made aware of this reduction. Also, there had been no opportunity to scrutinise this proposal
- It was the Residents Association / Independent Group's belief that the Conservative Group's priorities were wrong
- That the funding for the Leader of the Council's Community Improvement Fund remained unchanged
- All Epsom & Ewell County Councillors had spent their Member Allocation in full
- Local committees were better placed to make informed decisions in relation to local issues.
- As the proposals for devolved funding were being discussed by Central Government, he asked the Leader of the Council when these Member Allocations would be reinstated so that Members could make a difference to their residents.

Seven Members spoke, making the following points:

- That the motion was factually incorrect because the reduction related to local capital funding, as set out in the February 2015 Cabinet / Council Budget papers and this motion had resulted in a misleading story being printed in the local press
- There had been no changes to Members' allocation in the revenue budget – this had remained at £10,300 for this financial year
- Reduction in the local capital funding for the next two years had been re-directed to fund the increased number of school places required - £3.4m had already been spent in the Epsom & Ewell area, with a further £19.1m earmarked for future expenditure in this area
- That the diminished funding available for local committees to spend risked damaging the reputation of the County Council and there should be a return to the status quo
- Fund raising initiatives should be encouraged as an alternative
- Local committees now have less funding to support worthwhile causes in their areas

After the debate, the motion was put to the vote with 15 Members voting for it and 46 Members voted against it. There were no abstentions.

Therefore, the motion was lost.

#### **43/15 REPORT OF THE CABINET [Item 19]**

The Leader presented the report of the Cabinet meetings held on 10 and 24 March and 28 April 2015.

##### **(1) Statements / Updates from Cabinet Members**

There were two statements from Cabinet Members:

- (i) Mrs Angell, in relation to the overview of the Looked After Children Bursary Fund. This statement was included within the agenda papers. She highlighted key points from the statement and thanked all Members who had contributed, from their

allocations, to the fund and said that she would be asking for a similar commitment in the new council year.

- (ii) Mr Gosling tabled a statement in relation to the work of the Health and Wellbeing Board. (Appendix G)

**(2) Recommendations on Policy Framework Documents**

**A Surrey Transport Plan – Borough / District Local Transport Strategies and Forward Programmes (Tranche 1&2)**

**RESOLVED:**

That Tranche 1 & 2 of the Local Transport Strategies and Forward Programmes and their suggested objectives be approved for:

- Elmbridge
- Epsom and Ewell
- Mole Valley
- Reigate and Banstead
- Spelthorne
- Surrey Heath
- Tandridge
- Woking

And, that as part of the Surrey Transport Plan, the Local Transport Strategies and Forward Programmes endorsed by Cabinet, be approved by County Council.

**B Youth Justice Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020**

Mrs Angell presented the Youth Justice Strategic Plan 2015 – 2020 and informed Members that the refreshed Plan covered a five year period which would be refreshed annually, reflecting any changes to the national and local youth justice landscape that would impact on the strategic priorities. She highlighted them as:

- Preventing youth crime
- Reducing re-offending
- Safeguarding young people
- Protecting the public from harm

**RESOLVED:**

That the Youth Justice Strategic Plan for 2015 – 2020, attached as Appendix 1, to the submitted report, be approved.

**C Revised Statement of Community Involvement**

**RESOLVED:**

That the revised Statement of Community Involvement (SCI), attached as Appendix 2 to the submitted report, be approved.

### **(3) Reports for Information / Discussion**

That the following reports were received and noted:

- Customer Promise – the Council’s Commitment to delivering Excellent Service
- Quarterly Report on Decisions taken under Special Urgency Arrangements (January – March 2015)

#### **RESOLVED:**

That the report of the meetings of the Cabinet held on 10 and 24 March and 28 April 2015 be adopted.

#### **44/15 MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF THE CABINET [Item 20]**

No notification had been received from Members wishing to raise a question or make a statement on any of the matters in the minutes, by the deadline.

[Meeting ended at: 2.50pm]

---

**Chairman**